



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/876,666	06/07/2001	Motoi Sato	44471-259522 (13700)	9577
23370	7590	03/13/2006		
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			EXAMINER CHAMPAGNE, DONALD	
			ART UNIT 3622	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/876,666

Applicant(s)

SATO ET AL.

Examiner

Donald L. Champagne

Art Unit

3622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14 and 24-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 15-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-11-04 & 10-28-05.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Election/Restrictions*

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group B, claims 15-23, is acknowledged. Claims 1-14 and 24-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

### *Information Disclosure Statement*

2. The examiner failed to initial one reference on one page of the substitute form 1449 filed by applicant on 7 June 2001, and returned to applicant with the last Office action. The corrected page is enclosed.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 15-17, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Linden et al. (US006266649B1).
6. Linden et al. teaches (independent claims 15, 22 and 23) a method, device and computer readable medium for providing advertising, the method comprising: managing records of a user's purchase history (col. 7 lines 24-33), which said records read on access logs of

Art Unit: 3622

accesses made by users, and advertisement information for some product "B" recommendation (col. 11 lines 54-56) to be provided to a client who is a user accessing target information specified in advance (product "A" *contents of the user's shopping cart*, col. 3 lines 38-40 and col. 4 line 66 to col. 5 line 1), where the product "B" recommendation advertisement information is associated with additional information (the product "B" recommended item's *product information page*, col. 11 line 52) that can be accessed by the user client from the advertisement information (col. 11 lines 50-52); adding access logs of other purchaser users who are advertisement agent virtual users accessing both the product "A" target information and the product "B" additional information (col. 3 lines 1-3); providing the advertisement in response to a request from the client (the client making said request implicitly by adding product "A" to his or her shopping cart, col. 10 lines 39-43), by detecting the advertisement agents as related users who made accesses to the product "A" target information besides the client according to the access logs (col. 3 lines 1-3), extracting the additional information as correlated information that is accessed by the related users according to the access logs (col. 2 line 57 to col. 3 line 1 and col. 10 lines 37-39, col. 10 line 64 to 66), and delivering the advertisement information managed in association with the additional information as extracted, to the client (col. 11 lines 38-39 and 49-50).

7. Linden et al. also teaches at the citations given above claim 17. Linden et al. also teaches claim 16, where the periodic (e.g., *weekly*) updating of the *mappings of items to similar items* (col. 5 line 57 to col. 6 line 2) reads on detecting other related users. The continual accumulation of purchase histories reads on adding access logs of other advertisement agents.
8. Claims 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Linden et al. in view of Callaghan (US005937397A). Linden et al. does not teach managing the advertisement information as specified by an advertisement sponsor. Callaghan teaches sponsoring web page recommendations (col. 1 lines 62-65), which reads on managing the advertisement information as specified by an advertisement sponsor. Because Callaghan teaches that sponsorship is most effective when the recommendations list is targeted (*tailored to a given user*), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add the teachings of Callaghan to those of Linden et al.

Art Unit: 3622


9. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Linden et al. in view of Yonezawa et al. (US 20030191742A1). Linden et al. does not teach charging an advertisement fee for a minimum advertisement information delivery count. Yonezawa et al. teaches charging an advertisement fee for a minimum advertisement information delivery count (para. [0008]). Because Yonezawa et al. teaches that this enables better management of time-sensitive advertising (para. [0021]), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add the teachings of Yonezawa et al. to those of Linden et al. Neither reference teaches (claim 21) refunding at least part of the advertisement fee. However, refunding is always an obvious alternative when contract terms cannot be met.

### ***Conclusion***

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at [donald.champagne@uspto.gov](mailto:donald.champagne@uspto.gov), and *informal* fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717.
11. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 571-272-6724. The fax phone number for all *formal* fax communications is 571-273-8300.
12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3622

13. **ABANDONMENT** – If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, [www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov). At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.



**DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Donald L. Champagne  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3622

2 March 2006